

# ABELIAN GROUP ALGEBRAS OF FINITE ORDER

BY

SAM PERLIS AND GORDON L. WALKER

**Introduction.** A group  $G$  of finite order  $n$  and a field  $F$  determine in well known fashion an algebra  $G_F$  of order  $n$  over  $F$  called the group algebra of  $G$  over  $F$ . One fundamental problem<sup>(1)</sup> is that of determining all groups  $H$  such that  $H_F$  is isomorphic to  $G_F$ .

It is convenient to recast this problem somewhat: If groups  $G$  and  $H$  of order  $n$  are given, find all fields  $F$  such that  $G_F$  is isomorphic to  $H_F$  (notationally:  $G_F \cong H_F$ ). We present a complete solution of this problem for the case in which  $G$  (and thus necessarily  $H$ ) is abelian and  $F$  has characteristic infinity or a prime not dividing  $n$ . The result, briefly, is that  $F$  shall contain a certain subfield which is determined by the invariants of  $G$  and  $H$  and the characteristic of  $F$ .

**1. Multiplicities.** If  $G$  is abelian of order  $n$  and  $F$  is a field whose characteristic does not divide  $n$ , the group algebra  $G_F$  has the structure

$$(1) \quad G_F = \sum_{d|n} a_d F(\zeta_d)$$

where  $\zeta_d$  is a primitive  $d$ th root of unity,  $a_d$  is a non-negative integer, and  $a_d F(\zeta_d)$  denotes the direct sum of  $a_d$  isomorphic copies of  $F(\zeta_d)$ . In fact, each irreducible representation  $S$  of  $G_F$  maps  $G_F$  onto a field  $F_S \geq F$  and maps the elements of  $G$  on  $n$ th roots of unity. The image of  $G$  is a subgroup of the group of all  $n$ th roots of unity, thus is a cyclic group of some order dividing  $n$ . It follows that  $F_S = F(\zeta_d)$  where  $\zeta_d$  is a primitive  $d$ th root of unity. Formula (1) expresses the fact that a complete set of irreducible representations of  $G_F$  over  $F$  include precisely  $a_d$  which map  $G$  onto a cyclic group of order  $d$ . Now if  $K$  is the root field over  $F$  of  $x^n - 1 = 0$  we have

$$(2) \quad G_K = \sum_{d|n} n_d K_d$$

where every  $K_d = K(\zeta_d)$  is isomorphic to  $K$ ,  $\sum n_d = n$ , and each  $n_d$  is the number of irreducible representations  $T$  of  $G_K$  mapping  $G$  on a cyclic group of order  $d$ .

**LEMMA 1.** *The integer  $n_d$  in (2) is the number of elements of order  $d$  in  $G$ .*

There is a one-to-one correspondence between the elements  $g$  of  $G$  and the

---

Presented to the Society, April 16, 1948, and October 30, 1948, under the titles *Finite abelian group algebras*, I and II; received by the editors September 22, 1948 and, in revised form, April 29, 1949.

<sup>(1)</sup> Proposed by R. M. Thrall at the Michigan Algebra Conference in the summer of 1947.

representations  $T = T_g$ . The formulae<sup>(2)</sup> for this correspondence make it evident that  $g$  has order  $d$  if and only if  $T_g$  maps a basis of  $G$  onto a set of elements, the l.c.m. of whose orders is  $d$ . Then some element of  $G$  is mapped on an element of order  $d$ , all others on elements of order not greater than  $d$ . The map of  $G$  is thus a cyclic group of order  $d$ , and this proves the lemma.

Each irreducible representation  $S$  of  $G_F$  over  $F$  may be extended to a representation of  $G_K$  over  $K$ , the extension not altering the map of  $G$ . If  $S$  maps  $G_F$  onto  $F(\zeta_d)$  where the degree of  $F(\zeta_d)/F$  is

$$(3) \quad \deg F(\zeta_d)/F = v_d,$$

then  $S$  maps  $G_K$  on the direct sum<sup>(3)</sup>

$$(4) \quad F(\zeta_d)_K = K^{(1)} \oplus \dots \oplus K^{(v_d)} = v_d K,$$

thus giving rise to  $v_d$  irreducible representations  $T$  of  $G_K$  over  $K$ .

LEMMA 2. *If  $S$  maps  $G$  onto a cyclic group of order  $d$ , so does each representation  $T$  defined above.*

Each element  $g$  in  $G$  is mapped by  $S$  on  $g^S = \sum g_i$ ,  $g_i$  in  $K^{(v)}$ , and the corresponding irreducible representations over  $K$  are  $T_i$ :  $g^{T_i} = g_i$ . It may be seen<sup>(4)</sup> that the  $g_i$  are obtainable from one another by automorphisms of  $F(\zeta_d)_K$  leaving the elements of  $K$  invariant. Hence all the  $g_i$  have the same minimum function over  $K$ , and all of them are primitive  $d$ th roots of unity if  $g^S$  is one. Lemma 2 follows immediately, and it follows that the  $T_i$  into which the representations  $S$  split are the only irreducible representations of  $G_K$  mapping  $G$  on a cyclic group of order  $d$ . The  $a_d$  choices of  $S$  give rise to  $a_d v_d$  representations  $T$ , whence  $n_d = a_d v_d$ .

THEOREM 1. *The multiplicity  $a_d$  in (1) is given<sup>(5)</sup> by  $a_d = n_d/v_d$  where  $n_d$  is the number of elements of order  $d$  in  $G$  and  $v_d$  is  $\deg F(\zeta_d)/F$ .*

Now let  $G$  and  $H$  be abelian of common order  $n = p_1^{a_1} \dots p_k^{a_k}$  for distinct primes  $p_i$ , so there are unique expressions  $G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_k$  and  $H = H_1 \times \dots \times H_k$  for  $G$  and  $H$  as direct products of groups  $G_i$  and  $H_i$  of order  $n_i = p_i^{a_i}$ . Then:

COROLLARY 1.  *$G_F \cong H_F$  if and only if  $G_{iF} \cong H_{iF}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .*

By hypothesis and Theorem 1

<sup>(2)</sup> A. Speiser, *Die Theorie der Gruppen von endlicher Ordnung*, New York, 1945, p. 179.

<sup>(3)</sup> A. A. Albert, *Structure of algebras*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, vol. 24, New York, 1939, p. 31.

<sup>(4)</sup> Ibid.

<sup>(5)</sup> The authors are indebted to the referees for the simple approach to Theorem 1 which has been presented here.

$$G_F = \sum_{d|n} m_d/v_d F(\zeta_d) \cong H_F,$$

$$G_{iF} = \sum_{d|n_i} g_{id}/v_d F(\zeta_d), \quad H_{iF} = \sum_{d|n_i} h_{id}/v_d F(\zeta_d)$$

where the number of elements of order  $d$  in  $G_i$  is  $g_{id}$ , in  $H_i$  is  $h_{id}$ , and in  $G$  or  $H$  is  $m_d$ . But if  $d|n_i$ , the elements of  $G$  having order  $d$  lie in  $G_i$ , so  $m_d = g_{id}$  and likewise  $m_d = h_{id}$  so  $g_{id} = h_{id}$ , whence  $G_{iF} \cong H_{iF}$ . The converse is trivial.

In the remaining sections only the prime-power case is considered.

2. **Cyclotomic fields.** When  $n = p^\alpha$  for a prime  $p$  the notation in (1) will be changed to

$$(5) \quad G_F = \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha} a_i F(\zeta_i)$$

where  $\zeta_i$  and  $a_i$  are new symbols for  $\zeta_d$  and  $a_d$ ,  $d = p^i$ . This section explores conditions under which  $F(\zeta_i) \cong F(\zeta_j)$ . Taking  $i \leq j$  we may and shall assume that  $F(\zeta_i) \subseteq F(\zeta_j)$ , so the question now is concerned with the equality of these fields. Let  $P$  always denote the prime subfield of  $F$ .

LEMMA 3. *Let  $i$  and  $j$  be positive integers such that  $i < j$ . Then  $F(\zeta_i) = F(\zeta_j)$  if and only if  $F$  has a subfield  $F_0 \subseteq P(\zeta_j)$  such that  $F_0(\zeta_i) = F_0(\zeta_j)$ .*

**Proof.** If  $F_0(\zeta_i) = F_0(\zeta_j)$ , the field  $F(\zeta_i)$  must contain  $\zeta_j$ . Conversely, suppose  $F(\zeta_i) = F(\zeta_j)$ . The minimum function  $f(x)$  of  $\zeta_j$  over  $F$  has degree  $s$  equal to that of  $\zeta_i$ , and is a factor of the minimum function  $m(x)$  of  $\zeta_j$  over  $P$ . The coefficients of  $f(x)$  then must lie in the root field  $P(\zeta_j)$  of  $m(x)$  over  $P$ , and hence generate a subfield  $F_0$  of  $P(\zeta_j)$  such that  $F_0 \subseteq F$ . Then  $F_0(\zeta_j) \supseteq F_0(\zeta_i)$ , and

$$\deg F_0(\zeta_j)/F_0 = s \geq \deg F_0(\zeta_i)/F_0 = r \geq \deg F(\zeta_i)/F = s,$$

whence  $r = s$ ,  $F_0(\zeta_i) = F_0(\zeta_j)$ .

It is necessary now to make a brief detour because of some peculiarities arising if  $P$  is finite. Suppose that

$$(6) \quad P \subseteq P(\zeta_1) = \dots = P(\zeta_e) < P(\zeta_{e+1}) \quad (e \geq 1)$$

if  $p$  is odd, and

$$(7) \quad P \subseteq P(\zeta_2) = \dots = P(\zeta_e) < P(\zeta_{e+1}) \quad (e \geq 2)$$

if  $p = 2$ . These equalities never occur if  $P = R$  but do occur if  $P$  is a finite prime field whose characteristic is appropriately related to  $p$  (see Lemma 5).

DEFINITION. Let  $p$  be a prime and let  $P$  be a prime field of characteristic not equal to  $p$ . Then the integer  $e$  defined by (6) and (7) is called the cyclotomic number of  $P$  relative to  $p$  (or cyclotomic  $p$ -number of  $P$ ).

LEMMA 4. *Let  $P$  be a finite prime field of characteristic  $\pi$ ,  $n$  be an integer not*

divisible by  $\pi$ , and  $P(\zeta)$  be the root field over  $P$  of  $x^n - 1$ . Then  $\deg P(\zeta)/P = \epsilon$  where  $\epsilon$  is defined as the exponent to which  $\pi$  belongs modulo  $n$ .

Let  $P_f$  be a field of degree  $f$  over  $P$  so its nonzero quantities are roots of  $x^\nu - 1 = 0$ ,  $\nu = \pi^f - 1$ . Then  $P_f$  contains the  $n$ th roots of unity if  $n$  divides  $\nu$ . Conversely, if  $P_f$  contains a primitive  $n$ th root of unity,  $\zeta$ , the equation  $\nu = qn + r$  ( $0 \leq r < n$ ) leads to  $\zeta^\nu = 1 = \zeta^r$  so  $r = 0$ , and  $n$  divides  $\nu$ . The smallest value of  $\nu = \pi^f - 1$  obeying this condition is given by  $f = \epsilon$ . On the other hand the smallest value surely belongs to  $P_f = P(\zeta)$ .

Now let  $n = p^i$ , where  $p$  is a prime not equal to  $\pi$ , and denote the corresponding integer  $\epsilon$  of Lemma 4 by  $\epsilon_i$ . Then the cyclotomic  $p$ -number of  $P$  is the integer  $e$  determined by the conditions  $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = \dots = \epsilon_e < \epsilon_{e+1}$  ( $p$  odd),  $\epsilon_2 = \epsilon_3 = \dots = \epsilon_e < \epsilon_{e+1}$  ( $p = 2$ ). Hence:

LEMMA 5. *The cyclotomic  $p$ -number of  $P$  is the maximum integer  $e$  such that  $p^e$  divides  $\pi^e - 1$  where  $\epsilon$  is the exponent to which  $\pi$  belongs modulo  $p$  if  $p$  is odd, or modulo 4 if  $p = 2$ .*

The fact that  $P(\zeta_i) < P(\zeta_{i+1})$  for every  $i \geq e$  is a consequence of the following result.

LEMMA 6. *The extension  $P(\zeta_{e+i})/P(\zeta_e)$  has degree  $\delta_i = p^i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ).*

Writing  $\epsilon_e = \epsilon$  we have  $\delta_i = \epsilon_{e+i}/\epsilon$  and know<sup>(6)</sup> that  $\delta_i = p^i$ ,  $j \leq i$ ,  $\epsilon_{e+i} = p^i \epsilon$ . By Lemma 5,  $\pi^e = 1 + ap^e$  where  $a$  is not divisible by  $p$ . A trivial induction shows that

$$\pi^{p^i \epsilon} = 1 + a_i p^{e+i}, \quad (a_i, p) = 1,$$

for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . This proves that  $\epsilon_{e+i} = p^i \epsilon$ .

LEMMA 7. *If  $p$  is an odd prime and  $P$  is any prime field of characteristic not  $p$ ,  $P(\zeta_q)$  has the structure*

$$(8) \quad P(\zeta_q) = P(\zeta_1) \times L_q, \quad \deg L_q/P = \text{power of } p,$$

where  $L_q$  is unique. Moreover,  $L_q = P$  if  $q$  does not exceed the cyclotomic  $p$ -number of  $P$ .

The proof of this result is similar to the known<sup>(7)</sup> proof for the case  $P = R$ .

LEMMA 8. *Let  $p$  be odd and  $q > 1$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $F(\zeta_q) = F(\zeta_i)$ ,  $1 \leq i < q$ .
- (ii)  $F(\zeta_q) = F(\zeta_{q-1}) = \dots = F(\zeta_1)$ .
- (iii)  $F$  contains the field  $L_q$  defined by Lemma 7.

<sup>(6)</sup> A. A. Albert, *Modern higher algebra*, Chicago, 1937, p. 188, Theorem 21. The desired result is obtained by repeated application of this reference theorem.

<sup>(7)</sup> Robert Fricke, *Lehrbuch der Algebra*, vol. 3, Braunschweig, 1928, p. 205.

The condition (iii) implies that  $F(\zeta_1)$  contains  $L_q(\zeta_1) = P(\zeta_q)$ ,  $F(\zeta_1) = F(\zeta_q)$ , so (ii) follows. That (ii) implies (i) is obvious. Now we assume (i) and use Lemma 3 to reduce considerations to the case  $F \leq P(\zeta_q) = F(\zeta_q)$ . If  $q \leq e$  where  $e$  is the cyclotomic  $p$ -number of  $P$ ,  $L_q = P \leq F$  so (iii) is valid. Now let  $q$  be greater than  $e$ .

The field  $F(\zeta_i)$  is the composite  $F \cup P(\zeta_i)$ . Denoting the intersection  $F \cap P(\zeta_i)$  by  $F_i$ , we have

$$(9) \quad \deg F/F_i = \deg F(\zeta_i)/P(\zeta_i) = \deg P(\zeta_q)/P(\zeta_i).$$

Also,  $\deg P(\zeta_k)/P = p^{\epsilon_k u}$ ,  $\deg F/P = p^{av}$  for suitable integers  $\epsilon_k$ ,  $a$ ,  $u$  =  $\deg (P(\zeta_1)/P$ , and  $v$  a divisor of  $u$ . To complete preparations for substituting in (9) note that  $P(\zeta_q)/P$  is cyclic, hence possesses a unique subfield of any given degree dividing  $p^{\epsilon_q u}$ . Thus:  $\deg F_i/P = \gcd [p^{av}, p^{\epsilon_i u}] = p^{\mu v}$  where  $\mu = \min [a, \epsilon_i]$ . From (9),  $p^{a-\mu} = p^c$  where  $c = \epsilon_q - \epsilon_i = a - \mu$ . Since  $q > e$ , we have  $\epsilon_q - \epsilon_i > 0$ ,  $\mu < a$ ,  $\mu = \epsilon_i$ , so  $a = \epsilon_q$ ,  $\deg F/P = p^{\epsilon_q v}$ . Every such subfield  $F$  of  $P(\zeta_q)$  must contain the subfield  $L_q$  of degree  $p^{\epsilon_q}$ .

For the case  $p = 2$  similar results are obtainable. The extension  $P(\zeta_q)/P$  is cyclic of degree a power of 2 if  $P$  is finite, and for this case we define

$$(10) \quad L_q = P \quad \text{if } q \leq e, \quad L_q = P(\zeta_q) \quad \text{if } q > e,$$

where  $e$  is the cyclotomic number of  $P$  relative to  $p = 2$ . For  $P = R$  we have  $P(\zeta_q) = P(\zeta_2) \times L_q$  where  $L_q$  is arbitrarily one of the fields

$$(11) \quad L_q = P(\zeta_q + \zeta_q^{-1}), \quad L_q = P(\zeta_q - \zeta_q^{-1})$$

and  $\deg L_q/P = 2^{q-2}$ . We then state without proof:

LEMMA 9. *Let  $p = 2$  and  $q > 2$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $F(\zeta_q) = F(\zeta_i)$ ,  $2 \leq i < q$ .
- (ii)  $F(\zeta_q) = F(\zeta_{q-1}) = \dots = F(\zeta_2)$ .
- (iii)  $F$  contains one of the fields  $L_q$  above.

**3. Determination of the fields.** Let  $G$  and  $H$  be abelian groups of common prime-power order  $p^\alpha$  and let  $F$  be any field of characteristic not  $p$ . In this section all fields  $F$  are determined such that  $G_F \cong H_F$ .

As in (5) we have

$$(12) \quad G_F = \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha} a_i F(\zeta_i), \quad H_F = \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha} b_i F(\zeta_i),$$

so there is a unique integer  $q = q(G, H)$  defined as the maximum integer  $i$  such that  $a_i \neq b_i$ . From Theorem 1 this integer is the maximum  $i$  such that  $m_i \neq n_i$  where  $m_i$  and  $n_i$  are the numbers of elements of order  $p^i$  in  $G$  and  $H$ , respectively. Thus  $q$  is independent of  $F$ . Since  $m_0 = n_0 = 1$ ,  $q$  is never less than 2, but it may happen that  $q$  does not exist, that is, every  $m_i = n_i$ . In

this case we define  $q=0$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *The group algebras  $G_F$  and  $H_F$  are isomorphic if and only if  $(\alpha)$  holds when  $p$  is odd, and  $(\beta)$  or  $(\gamma)$  holds when  $p=2$ :*

( $\alpha$ )  $F \geq L_q$  defined by Lemma 7.

( $\beta$ )  $G$  and  $H$  have the same number of invariants and  $F$  contains one of the fields  $L_q$  defined by Lemma 9.

( $\gamma$ )  $G$  and  $H$  have unequal numbers,  $\gamma$  and  $\eta$ , of invariants and  $F$  contains  $P(\zeta_q)$  where  $P$  is the prime subfield of  $F$ .

If  $q=0$  the theorem is trivial, so we assume  $q>0$ , hence  $q \geq 2$ . Note that  $G_F \cong H_F$  if and only if  $A \cong B$  where

$$(13) \quad A = \sum_{i=0}^q a_i F(\zeta_i), \quad B = \sum_{i=0}^q b_i F(\zeta_i).$$

Suppose  $(\alpha)$  holds. Then (Lemma 8) both  $A$  and  $B$  becomes  $F \oplus mF(\zeta_1)$  for a suitable integer  $m$ , so  $A \cong B$ . If  $p=2$ ,  $F(\zeta_1) = F$ ,  $a_1 = 2^\gamma - 1$  so

$$(14) \quad A = 2^\gamma F \oplus \sum_{i=2}^q a_i F(\zeta_i), \quad B = 2^\eta F \oplus \sum_{i=2}^q b_i F(\zeta_i)$$

whence  $(\beta)$  implies that  $A = 2^\gamma F \oplus mF(\zeta_2) \cong B$ . If  $(\gamma)$  holds,  $A$  and  $B$  are diagonal over  $F$  and of the same order, hence isomorphic. Conversely, suppose  $A \cong B$  and first let  $p$  be odd. The assumption that  $F(\zeta_q)$  is not isomorphic to  $F(\zeta_i)$  for  $i < q$  implies that  $A$  has precisely  $a_q$  components  $F(\zeta_q)$  and  $B$  has precisely  $b_q$  such components. But then the fact that  $a_q \neq b_q$  conflicts with the isomorphism of  $A$  and  $B$ . Hence  $F(\zeta_q) = F(\zeta_i)$  for  $i < q$  so  $F \geq L_q$ . The proofs for  $p=2$  are obtained in similar fashion.

The case in which  $F$  is a prime field is interesting.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $G$  and  $H$  be abelian groups of order  $p^\alpha$ . If  $R$  is the rational number field,  $G_R \cong H_R$  if and only if  $G \cong H$ . If  $P$  is a finite prime field of characteristic  $\pi \neq p$ ,  $G_P \cong H_P$  if and only if  $q \leq e$  (where  $e$  is the cyclotomic  $p$ -number of  $P$ ) unless  $p=2$  and  $G$  and  $H$  have different numbers of invariants. In the latter case  $G_P \cong H_P$  if and only if  $q \leq e$  and  $\pi \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .*

For  $F=R$  the decompositions (12) are unique. Hence the condition  $G_R \cong H_R$  implies that  $q=0$ , and for each integer  $k=p^h$  dividing  $p^\alpha$ ,  $G$  and  $H$  have the same number of elements of order  $k$ . This number is  $N_k(G)\phi(k)$  where  $\phi$  denotes the Euler  $\phi$ -function and  $N_k(G) = N_k$  the number of cyclic subgroups of order  $k$  in  $G$ . The numbers  $N_k$  have been determined<sup>(8)</sup> by formulae which show that the group invariants are determined when the  $N_k$

(8) G. A. Miller, *Number of the sub-groups of any abelian group*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. vol. 25 (1939) pp. 256-262; see also Yenchien Yeh, *On prime power abelian groups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 54 (1948) pp. 323-327.

are specified. Thus  $G \cong H$ . The remaining parts of the theorem follow from Theorem 2 and our lemmas.

To compute the "q-number" directly from the invariants of  $G$  and  $H$ , denote the latter by  $p^{e_i}$  ( $i=1, \dots, \gamma$ ) and  $p^{f_i}$  ( $i=1, \dots, \eta$ ), respectively, numbered in descending order of magnitude.

**THEOREM 4.** *Define  $\lambda$  as the minimum integer  $i$  such that  $e_i \neq f_i$ . Then  $q = \max [e_\lambda, f_\lambda]$ .*

For proof, note that  $G = K \times \bar{G}$ ,  $H = K \times \bar{H}$  where  $K$  has invariants  $p^{e_i}$ ,  $i=1, \dots, \lambda-1$ , and those of  $\bar{G}$  and  $\bar{H}$  are evident. Let the common order of  $\bar{G}$  and  $\bar{H}$  be  $\bar{n}$  and let the numbers of elements of order  $p^i$  in  $G$ ,  $H$ , and  $K$ , respectively, be  $m_i$ ,  $n_i$ , and  $k_i$ . Then  $i > e_\lambda$  implies  $m_i = \bar{n}k_i$  and  $i > f_\lambda$  implies  $n_i = \bar{n}k_i$ . For definiteness take  $e_\lambda > f_\lambda$ , so  $i > e_\lambda$  implies  $m_i = n_i$ ,  $q \leq e_\lambda$ . For  $i = e_\lambda > f_\lambda$ , however,  $n_i = \bar{n}k_i$ ,  $m_i > n_i$ . This proves that  $q = e_\lambda$ .

PURDUE UNIVERSITY,  
LAFAYETTE, IND.