

UPPER BOUNDS FOR PERMANENTS OF (0, 1)-MATRICES

BY HENRYK MINC¹

Communicated by A. S. Householder, July 15, 1963

1. **Introduction.** A matrix is said to be a (0, 1)-matrix if each of its entries is either 0 or 1. If $A = (a_{ij})$ is an n -square matrix then the permanent of A is defined by

$$p(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i\sigma(i)}$$

where the summation extends over all permutations σ of the symmetric group S_n . Bounds for permanents of general (0, 1)-matrices and for permanents of certain subclasses of (0, 1)-matrices are of combinatorial significance and yet virtually the only known upper bound for $p(A)$ is the obvious one, the product of row sums of A . It has been conjectured that the permanent of an n -square (0, 1)-matrix with exactly k ones, $k < n$, in each row and column must exceed $n!(k/n)^n$ [1, p. 59]. It has been also conjectured by H. J. Ryser that in the class of all mk -square (0, 1)-matrices with exactly k ones in each row and column the maximum permanent is equal to $(k!)^m$, i.e., to the permanent of the direct sum of k -square matrices all of whose entries are 1. In the present note I give a significant upper bound for the permanent of a general (0, 1)-matrix. I also conjecture an upper bound which would allow one to answer Ryser's conjecture in the affirmative.

2. Results.

LEMMA. If r_1, \dots, r_c are positive integers then

$$\sum_{j=1}^c \frac{2}{r_j} \prod_{t=1}^c \frac{r_t}{r_t + 1} \leq 1$$

with equality if and only if $c \leq 2$ and either r_1 or r_2 is equal to 1.

PROOF. Let E_s denote the s th elementary symmetric function of the numbers $1/r_1, \dots, 1/r_c$; then

$$(1) \quad 0 \leq \prod_{t=1}^c (1 - 1/r_t) = 1 - E_1 + E_2 - E_3 + \dots + (-1)^c E_c$$

with equality if and only if one of the r_t is 1. Therefore

¹ This work was supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research.

$$1 + E_1 + E_2 - E_3 + \dots + (-1)^c E_c \geq 2E_1$$

and a fortiori

$$\prod_{t=1}^c (1 + 1/r_t) \geq 2E_1,$$

i.e.,

$$1 \geq \sum_{j=1}^c \frac{2}{r_j} \prod_{t=1}^c \frac{r_t}{r_t + 1}$$

and the inequality is strict unless (1) is an equality and $E_3=0$, i.e. $c \leq 2$.

THEOREM. *Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an n -square $(0, 1)$ -matrix and let $r_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$; then*

$$(2) \quad p(A) \leq \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{r_i + 1}{2}$$

with equality if and only if A is a permutation matrix.

PROOF. We use induction on n . Since the permanent is unchanged if the rows of the matrix are permuted we can assume that $a_{i1}=1$, $i=1, \dots, c$, and $a_{i1}=0$, $i=c+1, \dots, n$. Denote the submatrix obtained from A by deleting the i th row and the j th column by $A(i|j)$. Then, by the induction hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} p(A(i|1)) &\leq \left(\prod_{\substack{t=1 \\ t \neq i}}^c \frac{r_t}{2} \right) \left(\prod_{j=c+1}^n \frac{r_j + 1}{2} \right) \\ &= \frac{2}{r_i} \left(\prod_{t=1}^c \frac{r_t}{r_t + 1} \right) \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{r_j + 1}{2} \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, c, \end{aligned}$$

with equality if and only if $A(i|1)$ is a permutation matrix. Thus expanding $p(A)$ by the elements of the first column

$$\begin{aligned} p(A) &= \sum_{i=1}^c p(A(i|1)) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^c \frac{2}{r_i} \left(\prod_{t=1}^c \frac{r_t}{r_t + 1} \right) \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{r_j + 1}{2} \right) \\ &\leq \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{r_j + 1}{2}, \text{ by the lemma.} \end{aligned}$$

Equality holds in (2) if and only if $A(i|1)$ are permutation matrices,

$i=1, \dots, c$, and $c \leq 2$, r_1 or $r_2=1$. But this implies that A is a permutation matrix.

CONJECTURE. If $A = (a_{ij})$ is an n -square $(0, 1)$ -matrix then

$$(3) \quad p(A) \leq \prod_{i=1}^n (r_i!)^{1/r_i}$$

with equality if and only if there exist permutation matrices P and Q such that PAQ is a direct sum of matrices all of whose entries are 1.

The conjecture is known to be true for all $(0, 1)$ -matrices whose row sums do not exceed 6.

REFERENCE

1. H. J. Ryser, *Combinatorial mathematics*, Carus Math. Monograph No. 14, Math. Assoc. Amer., 1963.

UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA

THE COLLINEATION GROUPS OF DIVISION RING PLANES. I. JORDAN ALGEBRAS

BY ROBERT H. OEHMKE AND REUBEN SANDLER

Communicated by Nathan Jacobson, July 17, 1963

In this note, we outline a method which reduces the determination of the collineation group of a division ring plane to the solution of certain algebraic problems—in particular, to the question of when two rings of a certain type are isomorphic. This method is then applied to planes coordinatized by finite dimensional Jordan algebras of characteristic $\neq 2, 3$, and their collineation groups are determined. Complete arguments and detailed proofs will appear elsewhere.

1. Let \mathfrak{R} be a nonalternative division ring, let $\pi(\mathfrak{R})$ be the projective plane coordinatized by \mathfrak{R} , and let $G(\pi)$ be the collineation group of π . Then (see [1]) $G(\pi)$ possesses a solvable normal subgroup whose structure is known, the elementary subgroup, such that the factor group is isomorphic with the group of *autotopisms* of \mathfrak{R} , $A(\mathfrak{R})$. Also, $A(\mathfrak{R}) \approx H(\pi)$, where $H(\pi)$ consists of those elements of $G(\pi)$ which leave fixed the points (∞) , (0) , and $(0, 0)$. (See [2], Chapter 20 for the coordinatization of projective planes.)

Let $B(\mathfrak{R})$ be the *automorphism* group of \mathfrak{R} . Then $B(\mathfrak{R}) \approx H_1(\pi)$, where $H_1(\pi)$ consists of those elements of $H_1(\pi)$ which leave the point