## Chapter 2

## CBMS2005 Special Projects

Each CBMS survey accepts proposals for special projects from various professional society committees. Special projects chosen for one CBMS survey might, or might not, be continued in the next CBMS survey. This chapter presents data from the special projects of CBMS2005:

- The mathematical education of pre-college teachers (Tables SP. 1 to SP.10)
- Academic resources available to undergraduates (Tables SP. 11 to SP.15)
- Dual enrollments in mathematics (Tables SP. 16 and SP.17)
- Mathematics and general education requirements (Table SP.18)
- Requirements in the national major in mathematics and statistics (Tables SP. 19 to SP.24)
- Assessment in mathematics and statistics departments (Table SP.25).

Terminology: Recall that in CBMS2005, the term "mathematics department" includes departments of mathematics, applied mathematics, mathematical sciences, and departments of mathematics and statistics. Experience shows that mathematics departments may offer a broad spectrum of courses in mathematics education, actuarial science, and operations research as well as in mathematics, applied mathematics, and statistics. Computer science courses are some-
times also offered by mathematics departments. The term "statistics department" refers to departments of statistics or biostatistics that offer undergraduate statistics courses. Courses and majors from separate departments of computer science, actuarial science, operations research, etc., are not included in CBMS2005. Departments are classified by highest degree offered. For example, the term "masters-level department" refers to a department that offers a masters degree but not a doctoral degree.

## Tables SP. 1 to SP. 10: The Mathematical Education of Pre-college Teachers

In 2001, the American Mathematical Society (AMS) and the Mathematical Association of America (MAA) jointly published a CBMS study entitled The Mathematical Education of Teachers [MET] that made recommendations concerning the amount and kind of undergraduate mathematics and statistics that pre-service teachers should study. MET also called for closer collaboration between mathematicians and mathematics educators in the design of the undergraduate mathematics and statistics courses that pre-service teachers take. CBMS2000 provided baseline data about the extent to which the MET recommendations were already in place in fall 2000 and CBMS2005 provided five-year-later data to track further implementation of the MET report.

Table SP. 1 shows that, in fall 2005, about $87 \%$ of mathematics departments and $44 \%$ of statistics departments reported belonging to a college or university that offered a teacher certification program for some or all of grades $\mathrm{K}-8$. This compares to percentages of $84 \%$ for mathematics departments and $58 \%$ for statistics departments in fall 2000. The meaning of the fourteen point drop among statistics departments is not clear.

TABLE SP. 1 Percentage of mathematics departments and statistics departments whose institutions offer a certification program for some or all of grades K-8, by type of department, in fall 2005. (Data from fall 2000 in parentheses).

|  | Percentage whose institutions have a K-8 teacher certification program |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics |  |
| Departments |  |
| Univ (PhD) | 78 (72) |
| Univ (MA) | 92 (87) |
| Coll (BA) | 88 (85) |
| Total Math Depts | 87 (84) |
| Statistics |  |
| Departments |  |
| Univ (PhD) | 40 (58) |
| Univ (MA) | 59 (63) |
| Total Stat Depts | 44 (58) |

At the time of CBMS2000, teacher certification programs were almost entirely limited to four-year colleges and universities. By fall 2005 that had changed. Table SP. 2 shows the percentages of public two-year colleges with programs allowing three types of students to complete their entire mathematics certification requirements at the two-year college. The three types of students mentioned in the table are undergraduates without a bachelors degree (called "pre-service teachers"), in-service teachers who already
have certification in some other subject, and people who leave a first career to enter a second career in pre-college teaching (called "career-switchers"). The percentages in Table SP. 2 are not large, but given the large number of two-year colleges in the U.S., it is clear that two-year colleges could make a major contribution to educating the next generation of teachers. Table SP. 2 shows that two-year college credentialing programs tended to focus on producing $\mathrm{K}-8$ teachers.

TABLE SP. 2 Percentage of mathematics programs at public two-year colleges (TYCs) having organized programs that allow various types of pre- and in-service teachers to complete their entire mathematics course or licensure requirements, in fall 2005.

|  | Percentage of TYCs with an organized program in <br> which students can complete their entire <br> mathematics course or licensure requirements |
| :--- | :---: |
| Pre-service elementary teachers | 30 |
| Pre-service middle-school teachers | 19 |
| Pre-service secondary teachers | 3 |
| In-service elementary teachers | 16 |
| In-service middle school teachers | 2 |
| In-service secondary teachers <br> Career-switchers aiming for <br> Career-switchers aiming for middle <br> school teaching <br> Career-switchers aiming for <br> secondary teaching | 19 |

To what extent did mathematics and statistics departments in four-year colleges and universities cooperate with their schools of education in teacher certification programs in fall 2005? One mark of such cooperation is for the department to have a seat on the committee that governs the certification program. Table SP. 3 shows that about $80 \%$ of all mathematics departments were represented on that governing committee in fall 2005 (with considerable variation by type of department). Fewer statistics departments (about 28\%) had members on the governing committees. Table SP. 3 shows that the fall 2005 percentages were substantially larger than the corresponding percentages in CBMS2000, which reported $69 \%$
for mathematics departments and 0\% for statistics departments (see CBMS2000 Table PSE.2).

Another mark of a department's involvement in K-8 teacher education is the existence of special mathematics (or statistics) courses or course sequences designed for K-8 pre-service teachers. Table SP. 3 shows that the percentage of mathematics departments having such sequences rose from $77 \%$ in fall 2000 to $86 \%$ in fall 2005. The percentage of statistics departments with a special course for pre-service K-8 teachers was smaller in fall 2005 than the percentage for mathematics departments, but was higher than in fall 2000.

TABLE SP. 3 Percentage of mathematics and statistics departments in universities and four-year colleges offering K-8 certification programs that are involved in K-8 teacher certification in various ways, by type of department, in fall 2005. (Data from fall 2000 in parentheses).

|  | Percentage of departments in schools offering K-8 certification programs that |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Have a department member on the certification program's control committee | Offer a special course or course sequence for K-8 teachers | Designate special sections of regular courses for K-8 teachers |
| Mathematics Departments |  |  |  |
| Univ (PhD) | 58 (63) | 81 (79) | 31 (11) |
| Univ (MA) | 86 (74) | 96 (92) | 45 (13) |
| Coll (BA) | 82 (68) | 85 (73) | 21 (4) |
| Total Math Depts | 80 (69) | 86 (77) | 25 (7) |
| Statistics <br> Departments |  |  |  |
| Univ (PhD) | 29 (0) | 11 (4) | 0 (0) |
| Univ (MA) | 25 (0) | 33 (0) | 0 (0) |
| Total Stat Depts | 28 (0) | 16 (4) | 0 (0) |

Note: 0 means less than one-half of $1 \%$.

Table SP. 4 shows a clear trend away from special mathematics courses for pre-service teachers in twoyear college curricula, with the percentage of two-year colleges offering such courses in fall 2005 being less
than one-fourth of the corresponding percentage reported for fall 2000 by CBMS2000. This decrease stands in marked contrast to the situation in fouryear colleges and universities.

TABLE SP. 4 Percentage of public two-year colleges (TYCs) that are involved with K-8 teacher preparation in various ways, in fall 2005.

|  | Percentage of TYCs |
| :--- | :---: |
| Assign a mathematics faculty member to coordinate K-8 teacher <br> education in mathematics | 38 |
| Offered a special mathematics course for preservice K-8 teachers in <br> $2004-2005$ or 2005-2006 | 11 |
| Offer mathematics pedagogy courses in the mathematics department | 9 |
| Offer mathematics pedagogy courses outside of the mathematics <br> department | 10 |

How many mathematics courses were required for a student seeking K-8 certification in fall 2005? That is a complicated question because of the wide variety of certification programs in the U.S. In fall 2005, some colleges and universities offered a single-track program for K-8 certification, while others divided K-8 certification into two sub-tracks (one for early grades and one for later grades), and still others further subdivided their later-grades track into discipline-specific latergrade certification programs. (In a discipline-specific later-grades program, a student might become certified to teach in some cluster of disciplines, say mathematics and science, in the later grades.) CBMS2005 addressed that diversity by dividing universities with $\mathrm{K}-8$ certification programs into those that had a single set of mathematics requirements for $\mathrm{K}-8$ certification, and those that had different mathematics requirements for early and later grade certification.

But even the meaning of "early grades" and "later grades" is complicated, because in fall 2005, different states, colleges, and universities divided $\mathrm{K}-8$ certification in different ways. Some, for example, had an undivided $\mathrm{K}-8$ certification, others put grades 4,5 , 6,7 , and 8 together in a single certification category, and still others put only grades 6,7 , and 8 together. In an attempt to make a single questionnaire fit all of the certification patterns, the CBMS2005 question-
naire defined the term "early grades certification" to mean the certification that included grades $\mathrm{K}-3$, and defined the term "later grades certification" to be the certification that included grades 5 and 6.

Table SP. 5 shows that the majority (56\%) of departments with $\mathrm{K}-8$ certification programs do not distinguish between early and later grades in terms of mathematics requirements, and also shows how many mathematics courses are required for various certifications. Comparisons with CBMS2000 data are possible, at least for programs that have different requirements for early and later grades. In each type of mathematics department, the number of mathematics courses required for $\mathrm{K}-8$ teacher certification rose between fall 2000 and fall 2005. Chapter 2 of The Mathematical Education of Teachers recommended that K-3 teachers take at least nine semester hours of mathematics, which translates into three onesemester courses, and that prospective teachers of the middle grades should take at least 21 semester hours, which translates into seven semester courses. For CBMS2005, all reported data on course requirements were translated into semester courses, and Table SP. 5 shows that while MET's course recommendations had not been completely implemented by fall 2005, the nation was closer to them than in the base-year study in fall 2000.

TABLE SP. 5 Among all four-year colleges and universities with K-8 certification programs, the percentage that have different requirements for early grades ( $\mathrm{K}-3$ ) certification and for later grades (including 5 and 6 ) certification in terms of semester courses, including the number of semester courses required, and the percentage that have the same requirements for their combined K-8 certification program, including the number of courses required, in fall 2005. Also the average number of semester mathematics department courses required for various teacher certifications in those colleges and universities offering K-8 certification programs, by certification level and type of department, in fall 2005. (Data for fall 2000 in parentheses).


In fall 2005, which mathematics courses did pre-service K-8 teachers take? Table SP. 6 records departmental responses to the question "In your judgment, which three of the following courses in your department are most likely to be taken by preservice K-8 teachers?" The responses recorded in SP. 6 can be compared with Table PSE. 5 of CBMS2000. It would have been desirable to pose a more precise question, such as "Of all students receiving certification for part or all of grades K-8 between July 1, 2004 and June 30, 2005, what percentage actually took each of the following courses?" The CBMS2005 project directors decided that the data retrieval work required for a department to answer the more precise question would cut into CBMS2005 survey response
rates in a major way, so the less precise question was used. This may limit the utility of Table SP.6. With that caveat in place, Table SP. 6 suggests some conclusions. It suggests that in fall 2005 there were clear differences between the mathematical expectations for early and later-grade certification programs, that the mathematics requirements for $\mathrm{K}-3$ certification seemed to center on a multi-term course (e.g., a two-semester sequence) for elementary education majors and a course in College Algebra, and that the mathematics requirements for later-grades certification seemed to focus on Calculus, Geometry, and Elementary Statistics. (See Table SP.8, below, for a discussion of when pre-service K-8 teachers begin their mathematics and statistics studies.)

TABLE SP. 6 Among mathematics departments at four-year colleges and universities having different requirements for early and later grades certification, the percentage identifying a given course as one of the three mathematics courses most likely to be taken by pre-service teachers preparing for K-3 teaching or for later grades teaching (including 5 and 6) by type of department, in fall 2005.

|  | Most likely for K-3 certification |  |  | Most likely for later grades certification |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Among Mathematics <br> Departments With Different <br> Early and Later Grades <br> Requirements | Univ (PhD) Math | Univ (MA) <br> Math | Coll (BA) <br> Math | Univ (PhD) <br> Math | Univ (MA) <br> Math | Coll (BA) <br> Math |
| Multi-term course for elementary education majors <br> Single term course for elementary education majors | 59 $21$ | 70 $37$ | $64$ $33$ | $28$ $16$ | $47$ $10$ | $38$ $12$ |
| College algebra <br> Precalculus | $\begin{aligned} & 41 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \\ & 46 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| Intro to mathematical modeling <br> Mathematics for liberal arts <br> Finite mathematics | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ 28 \\ 23 \end{gathered}$ | $0$ $30$ $7$ | 0 <br> 25 <br> 15 | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 8 \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | 0 <br> 7 <br> 7 | $0$ <br> 2 <br> 8 |
| Mathematics history <br> Calculus | 5 <br> 21 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $0$ $12$ | $31$ $64$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | 18 <br> 77 |
| Geometry <br> Elementary Statistics | 10 31 | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | 0 27 | 43 41 | 47 44 | 53 55 |

Yet another mark of departmental involvement in $\mathrm{K}-8$ teacher education is the appointment of a department member to coordinate the program. Table SP. 4 shows that about 38\% of two-year colleges appointed such a coordinator in fall 2005, up from $22 \%$ in fall 2000 reported in CBMS2000 Table PSE.3. CBMS2005 posed a different question to four-year mathematics departments in fall 2005. Four-year mathematics departments that offered multiple sections of their elementary mathematics education course were asked
whether they appointed a department member to coordinate the multi-section course. Table SP. 7 shows that the percentage varied from $90 \%$ among doctoral departments that offered multiple sections of their elementary education course to $69 \%$ among bach-elors-level mathematics departments. Of the course coordinators, the majority were tenured or tenureeligible, and in all types of departments, at least $90 \%$ of the coordinators were either tenured, tenure-eligible, or a full-time department member with a Ph.D.

TABLE SP. 7 Among mathematics departments with multiple sections of their elementary mathematics education course, the percentage that administer their multiple sections in various ways, by type of department. Also, among departments with a course coordinator, the percentage with coordinators of various kinds, by type of department, in fall 2005.

|  | Mathematics Departments |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Departments with multiple sections of their Elementary Mathematics Education course | Univ (PhD) | Univ (MA) | College <br> (BA) |
| Number with multiple sections | 81 | 143 | 335 |
| Percentage using same text for all sections | 97\% | 91\% | 100\% |
| Percentage with course coordinator | 90\% | 82\% | 69\% |
| Status of Course Coordinator |  |  |  |
| a) Tenured/Tenure eligible | 65\% | 81\% | 68\% |
| b) Postdoc | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| c) Full-time visitor | 2 | 9 | 0 |
| d) Full-time, with Ph.D., not (a), (b),(c) | 28 | 9 | 32 |
| e) Full-time, without PhD, not (a),(b),(c) | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| f) Part-time | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| g) Graduate teaching assistant | 0 | 0 | 0 |

TABLE SP. 8 Percentage of mathematics departments estimating when K-8 preservice teachers take their first mathematics education course, by type of department, in fall 2005.

|  | Mathematics Departments |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| When Students Take K-8 <br> Mathematics Education Course | Univ (PhD) | Univ (MA) | College (BA) |
| Freshman year | $23 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| Sophomore year | 45 | 36 | 64 |
| Junior year | 27 | 17 | 13 |
| Senior year | 5 | 4 | 0 |

The final two tables in this part of Chapter 2 give data about other ways that departments participated in teacher education programs. Table SP. 9 shows the number of departments of various types that offered secondary mathematics certification programs, and shows where students in those programs learned
about the history of mathematics in fall 2005. Table SP. 10 shows the extent to which mathematics and statistics departments were involved in graduate teacher education programs, either inside or outside of the department.

TABLE SP. 9 Number and percentage of mathematics departments in universities and four year colleges with secondary mathematics certification programs whose pre-service secondary teachers learn mathematics history in various ways, by type of department, in fall 2005.

|  | Mathematics Departments <br> Mathematics Departments with Secondary <br> Certification Programs <br> Number |  | Univ (PhD) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage with a required mathematics (MA) <br> history course for secondary certification | College (BA) |  |  |
| Percentage with mathematics history only in <br> other required courses for secondary <br> certification | $58 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $41 \%$ |
| Percentage with no mathematics history <br> requirement for secondary certification | 22 | 25 | 43 |

TABLE SP. 10 Degree of participation by mathematics and statistics departments in graduate mathematics education programs of various kinds, by type of department, in fall 2005.

|  | Mathematics Departments |  | Statistics Departments |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Participation in a Graduate <br> Mathematics Education Program | Univ (PhD) | Univ (MA) | College (BA) | Univ (PhD) | Univ (MA) |
| Percentage with no graduate <br> mathematics education courses | 43 | 21 | 89 | 58 | 56 |
| Percentage with mathematics <br> education courses that are part of <br> a degree program in their own <br> department | 29 | 35 | 2 | 23 | 29 |
| Percentage with mathematics <br> education courses that are part of <br> a degree program in another <br> department | 28 | 44 | 9 | 19 | 15 |

## Tables SP. 11 to SP.15: Academic Resources Available to Undergraduates

In fall 2005, as in fall 2000, almost all two-year colleges reported using placement testing for incoming students. In CBMS2000, 67\% of two-year colleges reported that their placement test led to mandatory placement. The CBMS2005 survey changed the question somewhat, and found that in fall 2005, $88 \%$ of public two-year colleges had mandatory placement based on the placement test or based on the place-
ment test and other information. Table SP. 11 also shows the source of placement tests used by public two-year colleges with placement testing programs. The use of locally written placement tests declined, falling from $99 \%$ of two-year colleges in fall 2000 to $11 \%$ in fall 2005. Because many two-year colleges indicated that they used placement tests from several sources, the percentages in Table SP. 11 do not add to $100 \%$.

TABLE SP. 11 Percentage of public two-year colleges that have placement testing programs and use them in various ways, and the source of the placement tests, in fall 2005. (Data from fall 2000 in parentheses.)

|  | Percentage of two-year colleges \% |
| :---: | :---: |
| That offer placement tests <br> That usually require placement tests of first-time enrollees | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \text { (98) } \\ & 97 \quad(98) \end{aligned}$ |
| That require students to discuss placement scores with advisors <br> That use placement tests as part of mandatory placement | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \quad(79) \\ & 88 \quad(n a) \end{aligned}$ |
| That periodically assess the effectiveness of their placement tests | 81 (85) |
| Source of Placement Test |  |
| Written by department | 11 (99) |
|  | 22 (30) |
|  | 51 (34) |
| Provided by professional society | 12 (3) |
| Provided by other external source | 25 (26) |

Table SP. 12 shows that most mathematics departments in two-year colleges, and most mathematics and statistics departments in four-year colleges and universities, offered labs or tutoring centers for their students in fall 2005. The only major change since fall 2000 was the increase in the percentage of statistics departments that offered labs or tutoring centers (up from six out of ten to eight out of ten). Table SP. 13 shows the types of assistance available in mathe-
matics and statistics labs and tutoring centers. Among mathematics departments of four-year colleges and universities, the emphasis on computer use in the labs declined from the levels observed in fall 2000, while it increased in both statistics departments and two-year colleges. The use of para-professional and part-time faculty as tutors declined between 2000 and 2005, while tutoring by full-time faculty increased.

TABLE SP. 12 Percentage of mathematics and statistics departments in four-year colleges and universities, and mathematics programs in public two-year colleges, that operate a lab or tutoring center in their discipline in fall 2005. (Fall 2000 data in parentheses)

| Percentage with Lab or Tutoring Center | Mathematics Departments | Statistics <br> Departments | Two-Year College <br> Mathematics Programs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Univ (PhD) | 96 (90) | 79 (61) | -- |
| Univ (MA) | 91 (95) | 85 (50) | -- |
| Coll (BA) | 88 (89) | -- | -- |
| All departments | 89 (89) | 80 (59) | 95 (98) |

TABLE SP. 13 Among mathematics and statistics departments in four-year colleges and universities and mathematics programs in public two-year colleges that operate labs or tutoring centers, the percentage that offer various services, by type of department, in fall 2005. (Fall 2000 data in parentheses.)

| Percentage Offering Various Services in Labs \& Tutoring Centers | Computeraided instruction \% | Computer software \% | Media such as video tapes \% | Tutoring by students \% | Tutoring by paraprofessional staff \% | Tutoring by parttime faculty \% | Tutoring by fulltime faculty \% | Internet resources \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics Departments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Univ (PhD) | 33 | 48 | 20 | 98 | 29 | 22 | 27 | 38 |
| Univ (MA) | 33 | 55 | 40 | 96 | 43 | 23 | 28 | 37 |
| Coll (BA) | 25 | 33 | 27 | 99 | 20 | 9 | 19 | 21 |
| Total Mathematics Departments | 27 (38) | 38 (62) | 27 (24) | 98 (99) | 24 (35) | 13 (18) | 21 (16) | 25 (33) |
| Statistics Departments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Univ (PhD) | 44 | 68 | 13 | 96 | 13 | 9 | 17 | 27 |
| Univ (MA) | 51 | 83 | 17 | 100 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 69 |
| Total Statistics Departments | 46 (36) | 71 (63) | 14 (17) | 97 (93) | 14 (37) | 7 (11) | 17 (3) | 37 (23) |
| Two-Year College Mathematics Programs | 75 (68) | 72 (69) | 68 (74) | 94 (96) | 67 (68) | 48 (48) | 51 (42) | 77 (53) |

Note: 0 means less than one-half of $1 \%$.

Tables SP. 14 and SP. 15 show the extent to which departments of various kinds made a spectrum of academic enrichment opportunities available to their undergraduates in fall 2005. These tables expand upon Table AR. 12 in CBMS2000. With few exceptions, the percentage of departments offering a given academic opportunity increased between 2000 and 2005. Perhaps the most notable exception in Table SP. 14 is the decline from $47 \%$ to $34 \%$ in the number
of four-year mathematics departments that offer opportunities for their undergraduates to become involved with $\mathrm{K}-12$ schools. The difference between mathematics and statistics departments in terms of the availability of the senior thesis option in fall 2005 (76\% in mathematics departments, compared to $31 \%$ among statistics departments) may also be noteworthy.
TABLE SP. 14 Percentage of mathematics programs at public two-year colleges, and of mathematics and statistics departments in four-year
colleges and universities, that offer various kinds of special opportunities for undergraduates, by type of department, in fall 2005. (Fall 2000 data in
parentheses.)

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Percentage with } \\ \text { Special Opportunities } \\ \text { for Undergraduates }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Honors sections of } \\ \text { courses for majors } \\ \%\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Math or } \\ \text { Stat club } \\ \%\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Special } \\ \text { programs for } \\ \text { women } \\ \%\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Special } \\ \text { programs for } \\ \text { minorities } \\ \%\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Math or } \\ \text { Stat } \\ \text { contests } \\ \%\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Special Math } \\ \text { or Stat }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Outreach in } \\ \text { colloquia for } \\ \text { undergrads }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K-12 schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |$)$

Note: 0 means less than one-half of $1 \%$.
TABLE SP． 15 Percentage of mathematics programs in public two－year colleges，and of mathematics and statistics departments in four－ year colleges and universities，that offer various additional special opportunities for undergraduates，by type of department，in fall 2005．（Fall 2000 data，where available，in parentheses．）
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## Tables SP． 16 and SP．17：Dual Enrollments－ College Credit for High School Courses

Dual－enrollment courses are courses taught in high school by high school instructors for which high school students receive both high school and college credit． This arrangement is not the same as obtaining college
credit based on AP or IB examination scores．Dual enrollment is encouraged by many state governments as a way to utilize state－wide educational resources more efficiently．

In fall 2000，most dual－enrollment courses involved an agreement between a high school，where the course was taught，and a local two－year college that awarded
college credit for the course. In many states, public four-year colleges and universities were required to count such dual-enrollment credits toward their graduation requirements. Based on CBMS2000 findings, the Mathematical Association of America Board of Governors called for careful tracking of dual-enrollment growth and related quality-control issues, and CBMS2005 agreed to study dual-enrollment issues in fall 2005 in both two- and four-year colleges and universities.

Table SP. 16 shows that dual-enrollment courses were widespread among two-year colleges in fall 2005, with about $50 \%$ of all public two-year colleges awarding college credit for some dual-enrollment courses. In fall 2005 there were about 58,000 enrollments in Precalculus at two-year colleges, and about 14,000 dual-enrollments in high school versions of that same course, meaning that just over $19 \%$ of all credit in Precalculus awarded by two-year colleges was earned in dual-enrollment courses. Also, there were about 51,000 enrollments in Calculus I courses taught in two-year colleges, and about 11,000 enrollments in the dual-enrollment version of that same course. Consequently, about $18 \%$ of all Calculus I credit awarded by two-year colleges was through dual enrollments.

Comparing enrollment percentages for fall 2005 with data from CBMS2000 is somewhat problematic because the CBMS2000 survey asked two-year colleges to report the number of dual-enrollment sections rather than the number of dual enrollments. Nevertheless, it may be worth noting that CBMS2000 found that in fall 2000, about 18\% of two-year college sections in Precalculus and about $15 \%$ of two-year college Calculus I sections were dual-enrollment sections.

In fall 2000, anecdotal evidence suggested that few of the nation's four-year colleges and universities were involved in granting dual-enrollment credit for high school mathematics and statistics courses, so that four-year departments were not asked to report on their dual-enrollment activity. Table SP. 16 of CBMS2005 shows that in fall 2005, about one in seven mathematics departments, and one in twelve statistics departments, at four-year colleges and universities had entered into dual-enrollment agreements with high schools. However, in fall 2005 the number of dual-enrollment registrations in four-year colleges and universities was small compared to the number of
traditional enrollments. For example, the number of dual enrollments in College Algebra and in Calculus I were only about $4 \%$ of the number of regular enrollments in those courses. In statistics departments, the number of dual enrollments in Elementary Statistics was about 3\% of traditional enrollments in that same course.

A major concern in dual-enrollment courses is the degree of quality control exercised by the two-year or four-year department through which college-level credit for the courses is awarded. Table SP. 16 examines several types of quality control that college-level departments might have had over their dual-enrollment courses in fall 2005, and presents comparison data for dual-enrollment programs of two-year colleges from fall 2000. (Comparable data from fall 2000 do not exist for dual-enrollment programs at four-year colleges and universities.) CBMS2000 showed that in fall 2000, 79\% of two-year colleges reported that they always controlled the choice of the textbook used in their dual-enrollment courses. By the fall of 2005, that percentage dropped slightly, to $74 \%$, and the corresponding percentage of "never control the textbook" responses grew from 10\% in fall 2000 to $14 \%$ in fall 2005. Both final exam design and the choice of instructor in dual-enrollment courses seemed to drift away from two-year colleges' control between 2000 and 2005, with the largest change occurring in the degree of control over the final examination. Only in the area of syllabus design or approval did the degree of control by two-year colleges in dual-enrollment courses seem to increase between fall 2000 and fall 2005. Four-year college and university mathematics departments that were involved in dual-enrollment programs in fall 2005 exercised a degree of course control roughly similar to that of two-year college mathematics programs, except in terms of the choice of textbook, an area in which four-year departments had considerably less control than two-year departments.

Monitoring teaching quality is another opportunity for quality-control in dual-enrollment courses. About two-thirds of two-year colleges monitored the teaching of dual-enrollment instructors, while among four-year mathematics departments the number was closer to one in six. The findings reported in Table SP. 16 will not be reassuring to those who expect two- and fouryear colleges and universities to control the content and depth of courses for which they are granting college credit.
TABLE SP. 16 Percentage of departments offering dual-enrollment courses taught in high school by high school teachers, enrollments in various dualenrollment courses in spring 2005 and fall 2005, compared to total of all other enrollments in fall 2005, and (among departments with dual enrollment programs) percentage of various departmental controls over dual-enrollment courses, by type of department. (Fall 2000 data in parentheses.)

|  | Four-year Mathematics |  |  | Two-year Mathematics |  |  | Four-year Statistics |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of Departments with DualEnrollment Courses | 14\% |  |  | 50\% |  |  | 8\% |  |  |
| Number of Dual Enrollments | Dual enrollments spring 2005 | Dual enrollments fall 2005 | Other enrollments fall 2005 | Dual enrollments spring 2005 | Dual enrollments fall 2005 | Other enrollments fall 2005 | Dual enrollments spring 2005 | Dual enrollments fall 2005 | Other enrollments fall 2005 |
| College algebra | 2673 | 8046 | 201000 | 9913 | 11362 | 206000 | na | na | na |
| Precalculus | 2944 | 597 | 93000 | 14650 | 13801 | 58000 | na | na | na |
| Calculus I | 5540 | 8490 | 201000 | 8218 | 11188 | 51000 | na | na | na |
| Statistics | 340 | 981 | 124000 | 3648 | 2440 | 111000 | 1563 | 1295 | 43000 |
| Other | 3470 | 723 | na | 5452 | 3045 | na | 0 | 0 | na |
| Dept. Control of Dual Enroll. Courses Taught by H S Teachers | Never | Sometimes | Always | Never | Sometimes | Always | Never | Sometimes | Always |
| Textbook choice | 41\% | 15\% | 44\% | 14\% (10) | 12\% (12) | 74\% (79) | 36\% | 30\% | 34\% |
| Syllabus design/approval | 2\% | 6\% | 92\% | 4\% (8) | 7\% (11) | 89\% (82) | 36\% | 0\% | 64\% |
| Final exam design | 40\% | 30\% | 30\% | 36\% (15) | 28\% (28) | 37\% (57) | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Choice of instructor | 32\% | 20\% | 48\% | 35\% (19) | 13\% (20) | 52\% (61) | 36\% | 0\% | 64\% |
| Departmental teaching evaluations required in dual enrollment courses |  |  | 16\% |  |  | 64\% (67) |  |  | 0\% |

Table SP. 17 describes a relatively new phenomenon, in which colleges and universities send their own faculty members out into high schools to teach courses that grant both high school and college credit. About one in twenty-five mathematics departments in
four-year colleges and universities had such programs in fall 2005, as did about one in eight public two-year colleges. The number of students involved in these programs was small compared to the number of dualenrollment students taught by high school teachers.

TABLE SP. 17 Percentage of departments in four-year colleges and universities and in public two-year colleges that assign their own full-time or part-time faculty members to teach courses in a high school that award both high school and college credit, and number of students enrolled, in fall 2005.

|  | Four-year <br> Mathematics <br> Departments | Two-year Mathematics <br> Departments | Statistics <br> Departments |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assign their own members to teach <br> dual-enrollment courses | $4 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Number of students enrolled | 2874 | 2008 | 0 |

## Table SP. 18: Mathematical Sciences and General Education Requirements

Table SP. 18 examines the role of mathematics and statistics courses in the general education requirements of U.S. colleges and universities in fall 2005. Because of the wide variety of academic structures in U.S. universities, CBMS2005 began by asking each department whether its own academic unit had a quantitative requirement for bachelors degrees granted through that academic unit. The phrase "its own academic unit" was designed to address a situation, widespread in universities, in which a mathematics department belonged to a college (say the Arts and Sciences College), and all students of that college were required to take a quantitative course of some kind, even though students in some of the university's other colleges (say the College of Fine Arts) did not need to do so.

Table SP. 18 shows that in almost nine out of ten cases, the academic unit to which the four-year mathematics and statistics departments belonged did have
a quantitative requirement in fall 2005. In a majority of those cases, the mathematics department reported that the only way for a student to fulfill the quantitative requirement was by taking a course in the mathematics department. About one-quarter of the time, any mathematics course was adequate to fulfill the requirement, and in the other cases only certain mathematics courses fulfilled the requirement. Asked which departmental courses could satisfy general education requirements, departments most frequently mentioned Calculus, followed closely by Elementary Statistics, College Algebra, Precalculus, and a special general education course in the department. Among the several freshman mathematics course options proposed in the CBMS2005 questionnaire, all but one seemed to satisfy general education requirements in a majority of mathematics departments, the exception being "a mathematical models course." In statistics departments, the elementary statistics course was the primary general education course in the department.

TABLE SP.18: Percentage of four-year mathematics and statistics departments whose academic units have various general education requirements, and the department's role in general education, by type of department in fall 2005.


## Tables SP. 19 to SP.25: Curricular Requirements of Mathematics and Statistics Majors in the U.S.

In the CBMS2000 report, Table SE. 5 presented data on the percentage of mathematics and statistics departments that offered certain upper-division courses in the 2000-2001 academic year. Based on course availability, CBMS2000 concluded that in fall 2000, there were large differences between the kind of mathematical sciences major available to students in doctoral-level departments and in bachelors-level departments. In response to a request from the MAA Committee on the Undergraduate Program in Mathematics, CBMS2005 collected data about specific requirements of majors, about course-offering patterns for all upper-division mathematics and statistics courses during the two-year window consisting of the 2004-2005 and 2005-2006 academic years, and about the extent to which a student could use interdisciplinary components from another mathematical science (e.g., upper-division courses in statistics and computer science) to fulfill the requirements of a mathematics major.

Obtaining national data on the requirements of the mathematics major in fall 2005 was complicated because most mathematics departments offer several different tracks within the mathematics major, each with its own set of requirements. For example, there might be an applied mathematics track, another track for students intending to teach mathematics in high school, another track that focuses on probability and statistics, another designed for students planning for mathematics graduate school, etc., etc. (Some departments refer to these tracks as being separate majors,
but in this report we will refer to them as separate tracks within the departmental major.)

In fall 2005, was there any course seen as so central to mathematics that it was required in all of a department's potentially many tracks? Table SP. 19 shows that a computer science course comes closest of all to being a universal requirement for U.S. mathematics majors. Real Analysis I, Modern Algebra I, and a statistics course were essentially tied for second place, with about a third of departments reporting that these courses were required in each track of their majors. Capstone experiences (e.g., senior project, thesis, seminar, internship) were widespread requirements in masters- and bachelors-level departments, but not in doctoral departments.

Long ago, many mathematics majors required two semesters of analysis and two semesters of modern algebra. CBMS2005 asked departments whether all, some, or none of the tracks within their major required Modern Algebra I plus another upper-division algebra course, and posed an analogous question about Real Analysis I plus another upper-division analysis course. A large majority of departments reported that in fall 2005, none of the tracks within their majors required two semesters of modern algebra courses, and that none of the tracks within their majors required two semesters of upper-division analysis courses. More specifically, at least seven out of ten bachelors departments reported that none of their tracks required two semesters of analysis, and that none of their tracks required two semesters of algebra. Even among doctoral departments, the majority reported that no track within the department required two semesters of algebra.
TABLE SP.19: Percentage of four-year mathematics departments requiring certain courses in all, some, or none of their majors, by type of department, in fall 2005.

|  | Required in all majors |  |  | Required in some but not all majors |  |  | Not required in any major |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics Department Requirements | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Univ (PhD) } \\ \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Univ (MA) } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { College (BA) } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Univ (PhD) } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Univ (MA) } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { College (BA) } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Univ (PhD) \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Univ (MA) } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { College (BA) } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Modern Algebra I <br> Modern Algebra I plus another upper division algebra course | $24$ $5$ | $48$ <br> 8 | $56$ <br> 8 | $59$ $40$ | $42$ $28$ | 36 <br> 17 | $18$ $55$ | $10$ $63$ | $8$ <br> 75 |
| Real Analysis I <br> Real Analysis I plus some other upper division analysis course | $36$ <br> 10 | $39$ <br> 4 | $46$ <br> 8 | $49$ $49$ | 54 <br> 36 | 29 <br> 20 | $15$ $41$ | $7$ <br> 60 | $25$ <br> 71 |
| At least one computer science course | 55 | 76 | 64 | 27 | 16 | 14 | 18 | 8 | 22 |
| At least one statistics course | 32 | 56 | 32 | 40 | 32 | 32 | 28 | 11 | 35 |
| At least one upper division applied mathematics course | 16 | 23 | 21 | 52 | 41 | 25 | 32 | 36 | 54 |
| A capstone experience (senior project, thesis, seminar, internship) | 27 | 52 | 59 | 23 | 13 | 8 | 50 | 35 | 33 |
| An exit exam (written or oral) | 8 | 8 | 29 | 4 | 16 | 3 | 88 | 76 | 68 |

Table SP. 20 shows that in fall 2005, at least threequarters of all doctoral statistics departments required three semesters of calculus, including multi-variable calculus, plus Linear Algebra, for all tracks of their majors. At the other end of the spectrum, almost
two-thirds of all statistics departments reported that they do not require any applied mathematics course (beyond calculus courses and Linear Algebra) in any track of their majors.

TABLE SP. 20 Percentage of statistics departments requiring certain courses in all, some, or none of their majors, by type of department, in fall 2005.

|  | Required in all majors |  | Required in some but not all majors |  | Not required in any major |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of Statistics Departments that Require | Univ (PhD) \% | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Univ (MA) } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Univ (PhD) } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Univ (MA) } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Univ (PhD) \% | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Univ (MA) } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| (a) Calculus I | 92 | 86 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 14 |
| (b) Calculus II | 87 | 86 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 14 |
| (c) Multivariable Calculus | 78 | 51 | 9 | 17 | 13 | 31 |
| (d) Linear algebra/Matrix theory | 84 | 69 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 31 |
| (e) at least one Computer Science course | 72 | 86 | 16 | 0 | 12 | 14 |
| (f) at least one applied mathematics course, not incl. (a), (b), (c), (d) | 24 | 14 | 12 | 17 | 64 | 69 |
| (g) a capstone experience (e.g., a senior thesis or project, seminar, or internship) | 34 | 51 | 9 | 17 | 57 | 31 |
| (h) an exit exam(oral or written) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 100 | 83 |

In fall 2005, to what extent did the nation's mathematics majors include interdisciplinary linkages with computer science and statistics? As noted above, an introductory computer science course was perhaps the most universal course requirement for a mathematics major. But were any upper-division courses in computer science allowed to count toward a track within the mathematics department major? If CBMS2005 data are interpreted conservatively, some answers are possible. For example, Table SP. 21 shows that 69\% of all doctoral mathematics departments allow some upper-division computer science course from another department to count toward one of their mathematics major tracks. In addition, $17 \%$ of doctoral mathematics departments teach upper-
division computer science courses themselves, and it is reasonable to suppose that some mathematics major tracks in such departments might include some of the department's own upper-level computer science courses. Therefore, between $69 \%$ and $86 \%$ of doctoral mathematics departments allow upperdivision computer science courses to count toward the requirements of some of their mathematics major tracks, while at least $14 \%$ do not allow any upper-division computer science courses to fulfill requirements of their majors. Table SP. 21 shows that between $42 \%$ and $64 \%$ of bachelors-level mathematics departments allow upper-level computer science courses to count toward their requirements for some tracks, leaving at least $36 \%$ that do not.

The percentages in Table SP. 21 suggest that in fall 2005, a large majority of mathematics departments allowed upper-level statistics courses (either from their own department or from another department) to count toward the requirements of one of their majors.

Table SP. 21 shows that among doctoral statistics departments, 55\% allowed upper-level computer science courses from other departments to count towards a track within the statistics major, and four
percent taught upper-level computer science courses of their own. Consequently, about $40 \%$ of doctoral statistics departments did not allow any upper-division computer science courses to count toward their departmental statistics major. Table SP. 21 also shows that two out of three doctoral statistics departments allowed some upper-division mathematics courses to count toward the requirements of some statistics major track.

TABLE SP. 21 Percentage of mathematics departments and statistics departments that allow upper division courses from other departments to count toward their undergraduate major requirements, by type of department, in fall 2005.

|  | Four-year Mathematics Departments |  |  | Statistics Departments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of Departments that | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Univ (PhD) } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Univ (MA) } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { College (BA) } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Univ (PhD) } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Univ (MA) } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Teach upper level computer science <br> Allow upper level CS courses from other depts. to count toward their major | 17 $69$ | 25 <br> 31 | 42 <br> 22 | 4 <br> 55 | 29 $100$ |
| Teach upper level statistics <br> Allow upper level statistics courses from other depts. to count toward their major | 64 <br> 55 | 94 $12$ | 87 <br> 15 | na <br> na | na <br> na |
| Allow upper division mathematics courses to count toward their major | na | na | na | 66 | 86 |

Table SP. 22 examines the availability of many upper-division courses in mathematics departments during the two-year window consisting of the consecutive academic years 2004-2005 and 2005-2006 (which we abbreviate as 2004-2005-2006). Analogous data for a smaller course list during the single academic years 1995-1996 and 2000-2001 appears in Table SE. 5 of the CBMS2000 report. All other things being equal, one would expect to see a larger percentage of departments offering a given course during a two-year window than during a one-year window, and in most cases that is what Table SP. 22 shows.

It is somewhat surprising that only about $61 \%$ of all four-year college and university mathematics departments offered Modern Algebra during the two-year
window 2004-2005-2006, compared to a $71 \%$ figure for mathematics departments offering the same course during the single academic year 2000-2001 and a 77\% figure for Modern Algebra in the single academic year 1995-1996. Similarly surprising is the percentage of all mathematics departments that offered a course called Real Analysis/Advanced Calculus: 70\% for the 1995-1996 academic year, 56\% for the 2000-2001 academic year, and $66 \%$ for the two-academicyear window 2004-2005-2006. These percentages, combined with the course-requirement data in Table SP.19, suggest that Modern Algebra and Real Analysis no longer hold the central position in the undergraduate mathematics major that they once did.

It may be worth noting that the percentage of bach-elors-level mathematics departments offering Number Theory and Combinatorics was larger in 2004-20052006 than in 2000-2001, but the importance of this observation is tempered by the fact that less than a third of bachelors-level departments offered these courses in 2004-2005-2006.

Table SP. 22 reinforces the tentative conclusion from CBMS2000 that there was a real difference between the mathematics major available to students in doctoral departments and in bachelors departments. For example, during the academic year 2000-2001, 87\% of doctoral mathematics departments offered a Modern Algebra course, compared to $63 \%$ of bachelors departments. During the two-year window 2004-2005-2006, $86 \%$ of doctoral mathematics departments offered a Modern Algebra course, compared to $52 \%$ of bache-lors-level departments. The situation for Real Analysis is similar: in 2000-2001, about 90\% of doctoral mathematics departments offered Real Analysis, compared to $45 \%$ of bachelors-level departments, and during the two-year window 2004-2005-2006, 95\% of doctoral departments and $57 \%$ of bachelors departments offered the course. The course-availability gaps between doctoral and bachelors departments for Geometry and Number Theory were larger, and specialized courses such as Combinatorics and Logic/

Foundations were four times as likely to be available in doctoral mathematics departments than in bach-elors-level departments.

Table SP. 23 examines the analogous question for upper-level statistics courses taught in mathematics or in statistics departments. Among mathematics departments, for example, the percentage offering Mathematical Statistics in the two-year window 2004-2005-2006 was $38 \%$, compared to a figure of $52 \%$ for the same course during the single academic year 2000-2001. The percentage of statistics departments that offered Mathematical Statistics in 2000-2001 was $90 \%$ and dropped to $76 \%$ in the two-year window 2004-2005-2006. Indeed, of the thirteen upper-division statistics courses in Table SP.23, ten were offered less frequently in statistics departments during the two-year window 2004-2005-2006 than during the one-year window 2000-2001. The exceptions were probability courses, biostatistics courses, and statistics senior seminars.

Tables SP. 22 and SP. 23 provide availability data for a broad spectrum of upper-division mathematics and statistics courses and could serve as baseline data for a future study of the evolution of the national mathematics and statistics curriculum between 2004-2005-2006 and 2009-2010-2011.

TABLE SP. 22 Percentage of mathematics departments offering various upper-division mathematics courses at least once in the two academic years 2004-2005 and 2005-2006, plus historical data on the one year period 2000-2001, by type of department.

|  |  | Academic Years 2004-2005 \& 2005-2006 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Math Depts $\begin{gathered} 2000-01 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { All Math Depts } \\ \text { 2004-5 \& 2005-6 } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | PhD Math \% | MA Math \% | BA Math \% |
| Upper-level Mathematics Courses |  |  |  |  |  |
| Modern Algebra I | 71 | 61 | 86 | 87 | 52 |
| Modern Algebra II | na | 21 | 40 | 40 | 15 |
| Number Theory | 33 | 37 | 61 | 61 | 29 |
| Combinatorics | 18 | 22 | 55 | 38 | 14 |
| Actuarial Mathematics | na | 11 | 24 | 23 | 6 |
| Foundations/Logic | 16 | 11 | 27 | 16 | 7 |
| Discrete Structures | na | 14 | 27 | 22 | 10 |
| History of Mathematics | na | 35 | 43 | 68 | 28 |
| Geometry | 56 | 55 | 81 | 89 | 44 |
| Math for secondary teachers | 42 | 37 | 41 | 50 | 35 |
| Adv Calculus/ Real Analysis I | 56 | 66 | 95 | 86 | 57 |
| Adv Calculus/Real Analysis II | na | 26 | 62 | 44 | 17 |
| Adv Mathematics for Engineering/Physics | na | 16 | 50 | 28 | 7 |
| Advanced Linear Algebra | na | 19 | 52 | 42 | 9 |

TABLE SP.22, continued

|  |  | Academic Years 2004-2005 \& 2005-2006 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upper-level Math, Continued | All Math Depts 2000-01 \% | All Math Depts 2004-5 \& 2005-6 \% | PhD Math \% | MA Math \% | BA Math \% |
| Vector Analysis | na | 9 | 21 | 6 | 7 |
| Advanced Differential <br> Equations | na | 13 | 45 | 28 | 5 |
| Partial Differential Equations | na | 19 | 57 | 29 | 11 |
| Numerical Analysis I and II | na | 47 | 83 | 76 | 36 |
| Applied Math/Modeling | 24 | 26 | 48 | 47 | 18 |
| Complex Variables | na | 37 | 80 | 53 | 26 |
| Topology | 22 | 32 | 61 | 33 | 26 |
| Mathematics of Finance | na | 8 | 24 | 8 | 5 |
| Codes \& Cryptology | na | 8 | 17 | 8 | 7 |
| Biomathematics | na | 8 | 24 | 9 | 4 |
| Intro to Operations <br> Research | 13 | 12 | 17 | 20 | 10 |
| Intro to Linear <br> Programming | na | 6 | 19 | 21 | 1 |
| Math senior seminar/Ind study | 58 | 45 | 61 | 48 | 42 |

TABLE SP. 23 Percentage of mathematics and statistics departments offering various undergraduate statistics courses at least once in academic year 2000-2001 and at least once in the two academic years 2004-2005 and 2005-2006, by type of department.

|  |  | AY 2004-05 \& 2005-06 |  |  |  |  | AY 2004-05 \& 2005-06 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upper Level Statistics Courses | All Math <br> Depts <br> 2000-01 <br> \% | All Math <br> Depts \% | PhD <br> Math <br> \% | MA <br> Math <br> \% | BA <br> Math <br> \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { All Stat } \\ \text { Depts } \\ 2000-01 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | All Stat <br> Depts <br> \% | PhD <br> Stat <br> \% | MA <br> Stat \% |
| Mathematical Statistics | 52 | 38 | 52 | 63 | 31 | 90 | 76 | 73 | 88 |
| Probability | 40 | 51 | 72 | 69 | 43 | 75 | 86 | 90 | 73 |
| Stochastic Processes | 6 | 6 | 21 | 13 | 2 | 46 | 43 | 42 | 44 |
| Applied Statistical Analysis | 13 | 13 | 26 | 32 | 7 | 72 | 65 | 63 | 73 |
| Experimental Design | 10 | 6 | 14 | 23 | 2 | 74 | 54 | 49 | 73 |
| Regression \& Correlation | 9 | 6 | 20 |  | 3 | 82 | 62 | 55 | 88 |
| Biostatistics | 5 | 4 | 11 | 13 | 2 | 20 | 25 | 28 | 15 |
| Nonparametric Statistics | 4 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 45 | 38 | 33 | 59 |
| Categorical Data <br> Analysis | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 39 | 21 | 19 | 29 |
| Sample Survey Design | 3 | 4 | 13 | 8 | 1 | 52 | 49 | 43 | 73 |
| Stat Software \& Computing | 5 | 3 | 11 | 7 | 1 | 48 | 43 | 35 | 73 |
| Data Management | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 5 | 6 | 0 |
| Statistics Senior Sem/Ind Study | 5 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 34 | 41 | 36 | 59 |

Note: 0 means less than one-half of one percent.

Table SP. 24 summarizes responses from mathematics and statistics departments about the career plans of their bachelors graduates from the 2004-2005 academic year. Departments were asked to give their best estimates of the percentages of their graduates who chose this or that post-college path; the question did not ask departments to do follow-up studies
of the previous year's graduates. Consequently, the first four rows should be taken with a grain of salt, and the table does not answer the question "What did mathematics majors (statistics majors) do after graduation?" But it may say something about the extent to which mathematics and statistics departments know their graduating seniors.

TABLE SP. 24 Departmental estimates of the percentage of graduating mathematics or statistics majors from academic year 2004-2005 who had various post-graduation plans, by type of department in fall 2005.

|  | Mathematics Departments |  |  | Statistics Departments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Departmental Estimates of Post-college Plans | Univ (PhD) | Univ (MA) | College (BA) | Univ (PhD) | Univ (MA) |
| Students who went into pre-college teaching | 16\% | 44\% | 32\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| Students who went to graduate or professional school | 21 | 16 | 19 | 18 | 29 |
| Students who took jobs in business, government, etc. | 19 | 21 | 29 | 16 | 36 |
| Students who had other plans known to the department | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 6 |
| Students whose plans are not known to the department | 39 | 18 | 17 | 65 | 28 |

## Table SP.25: Assessment Activities in Mathematics and Statistics Departments.

During the ten-year period leading up to 2005, state governments, national accrediting agencies, and professional organizations such as the Mathematical Association of America all placed great emphasis on departmental assessment studies [MAAGuidelines], [M], [CUPM], [GKM]. For further information, see http:// www.maa.org/saum/index.html.

Table SP. 25 summarizes departmental responses about their assessment activities during the period 1999-2005. Surveying departmental graduates was the most widely used assessment technique among masters- and bachelors-level mathematics departments and was also used by six out of ten doctoral mathematics departments. Other recommended
assessment techniques were less widely used. Less than half of all mathematics departments used outside reviewers as part of their assessment efforts, perhaps because of cost issues. Less than half of all departments consulted "client departments," i.e., departments whose courses use mathematics or statistics courses as prerequisites, to see whether the client departments were satisfied with what their students had learned in mathematics courses. Less than half of all departments did follow-up studies to determine how well the department's courses prepared the department's own students for later departmental courses. But whatever assessment techniques were or were not used, Table SP. 25 reports that in three quarters of mathematics departments, assessment efforts led departments to change their undergraduate programs.

TABLE SP. 25 Percentage of four-year mathematics and statistics departments undertaking various assessment activities during the last six years, by type of department, in fall 2005.

|  | Four-year Mathematics Departments |  | Statistics Departments |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage Using Various <br> Assessment Tools | Univ (PhD) <br> $\%$ | Univ (MA) <br> $\%$ | College (BA) <br> $\%$ | Univ (PhD) <br> $\%$ | Univ (MA) <br> $\%$ |
| Consult outside reviewers | 47 | 45 | 29 | 37 | 59 |
| Survey program graduates | 62 | 81 | 74 | 54 | 71 |
| Consult other departments | 51 | 41 | 35 | 29 | 56 |
| Study data on students' progress in <br> later courses | 45 | 52 | 38 | 30 | 56 |
| Evaluate placement system | 72 | 72 | 51 | 5 | 15 |
| Change undergraduate program |  |  |  |  |  |
| due to assessment |  |  |  |  |  |

